DANE ARTS PANEL REVIEW RUBRIC

| | Reviewers: rate each statement on the following point scale | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| | 4 = to a great extent/outstanding | 3 = to some extent/good | 2 = somewhat/slightly/fairly | 1 = hardly at all/weak |
| REVIEW CRITERIA | Statement: | Reviewer Rating/Score | [multiply by] Statement Weight | [equals] Weighted Scor |
| A. Quality and Creativity of the Proposed Project | 1. The application clearly defines the purpose, objective, audience served, and intended outcome of the proposed project. | 4 | x 3 | 12 |
| | 2. The proposed project demonstrates creativity, innovation, and/or uniqueness. | 4 | x 2 | 8 |
| | 3. The proposed project inspires confidence in presenters' skillsets/talents. | 4 | x 1 | 4 |
| B. Community / Population Served and Public Value | 4. The application clearly identifies the project's intended audience. | 4 | x 3 | 12 |
| | 5. The project intends to engage new or underserved audiences. The application details how new/underserved audiences will be engaged. | 4 | x 2 | 8 |

DANE ARTS PANEL REVIEW RUBRIC

| | Reviewers: rate each statement on the following point scale | | | |
|-------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | 4 = to a great extent/outstanding | 3 = to some extent/good | 2 = somewhat/slightly/fairly | 1 = hardly at all/weak |
| REVIEW CRITERIA | Statement: 6. The project indicates relevant community partners and/or collaborators. | Reviewer Rating/Score 4 | [multiply by] Statement Weight | t [equals] Weighted Scor |
| | 7. The applicant has a detailed plan for how it will measure and evaluate the project's impact and value. | 4 | x 2 | 8 |
| C. Financial Plan | 8. The financial information is complete and clear. | 4 | x 3 | 12 |
| | 9. The project budget is detailed and shows realistic planning. | 4 | x 2 | 8 |
| | 10. The applicant has successfully sought out varied sources of earned income and contributed funds for support. | 4 | x 1 | 4 |

DANE ARTS PANEL REVIEW RUBRIC

| | Reviewers: rate each statement on the following point scale | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 4 = to a great extent/outstanding | 3 = to some extent/good | 2 = somewhat/slightly/fairly | ly 1 = hardly at all/weak |
| REVIEW CRITERIA | Statement: | Reviewer Rating/Score | [multiply by] Statement | Weight [equals] Weighted Sco |
| D. Ability of the Applicant to Successfully Complete the Project | 11. The project plan and timeline are realistic, thoroughly outlined, and provide specific activities and dates for completion. | 4 | x 2 | 8 |
| | 12. The supplemental materials add value in better understanding the project and applicant.** | 4 | x 1 | 4 |
| E. Alignment with Dane Arts Mission | 13. The purpose of the project aligns with the mission of Dane Arts: "Engaging participation, connecting people, and inspiring expressive living in Dane County." | 4 | x 1 | 4 |
| | | | 25 | TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE |