DANE ARTS PANEL REVIEW RUBRIC

					draft 12/17/20		
	Reviewers: rate each statement on the following point scale						
	4 = to a great extent/outstanding	3 = to some extent/good	2 = somewhat/slightly/fairly	1 = hardly at all/weak			
REVIEW CRITERIA	Statement:	Reviewer Rating/Score	[multiply by] Statement Weight	[equals] Weighted	Score		
A. Quality and Creativity of the Proposed Project	The application clearly defines the project and its purpose.	4	x 3	12			
	2. The proposed project demonstrates creativity, innovation, and/or uniqueness.	4	x 2	8			
	3. The proposed project inspires confidence in presenters' skillsets/talents.	4	x 2	8			
B. Community / Population Served	4. The application clearly identifies the project's intended audience.	4	x 2	8			
	5. The project indicates relevant community partners and/or collaborators.	4	x 2	8			

DANE ARTS PANEL REVIEW RUBRIC

	Reviewers: rate each statement on the following point scale					
	4 = to a great extent/outstanding Statement:	3 = to some extent/good Reviewer Rating/Score	2 = somewhat/slightly/fairly		1 = hardly at all/weak	
REVIEW CRITERIA			[multiply by]	Statement Weight	[equals]	Weighted Score
C. Public Value	6. The applicant has a specific plan for how it will measure and evaluate the project's impact and value.	4	x	2	8	
	7. The purpose of the project benefits the citizens of Dane County and merits tax-payer dollars.	4	x	4	16	
D. Financial Plan	8. The project budget is detailed and shows realistic planning.	4	x	3	12	
	9. The applicant has sought out varied sources of earned income and contributed funds for support.	4	х	2	8	
E. Supplemental Materials	10. The supplemental materials add value in better understanding the project and applicant.**	4	х	3	12	
					100	

DANE ARTS PANEL REVIEW RUBRIC

	Reviewers: rate each statement							
	4 = to a great extent/outstanding	3 = to some extent/good	2 = somewhat/slightly/fairly	1 = hardly at all/weak				
REVIEW CRITERIA	Statement:	Reviewer Rating/Score	[multiply by] Statement Weight	[equals] Weighted Score				
			25	TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE				
			Total weighted points	highest possible score = 100				
Reviewer Comments	This is where I would add relevant commentary on the review, box needs to be formatted for word wrap lowest possible score = 25							
Note:	Reviewers should keep in mind that some organizations are more adept at preparing grant applications than others and that the quality of applications will vary accordingly. Reviewers should try to evaluate the quality of the proposed project without overdue regard for how well the narrative is written, unless the weak writing interferes with the reviewer's ability to evaluate the project. In this case, reviewers are advised to make note of the poor writing or other issue in the "Other" comments section of the rating form to be communicated back to the applicant.							
** See the following section	ns: Attachments/Supplemental Material	ls , Other Attachments						